



RACP

Specialists. Together

EDUCATE ADVOCATE INNOVATE

Advanced Training Committee in Neonatal/Perinatal Medicine

Grant Proposal Project Assessment Form

Trainees Name:

Project Title:

OVERALL OUTCOME:

- Pass
 Resubmit – Poor in two of 2, 3, 5 or 7 or ≥ 3 poor in total
 Fail - Does not meet any of the criteria for a research project for Neonatal/Perinatal Medicine

(Use "X" to select)	not applicable	poor	average	above average/excellent
1. Project synopsis Short plain language description of the study		Does not use plain language and does not provide clear reasoning for the study <input type="checkbox"/>	Provides a plain language description but is not totally clear on the reasons for the study <input type="checkbox"/>	Provides a plain language description that is concise and clearly describes the reason the study is required <input type="checkbox"/>
2. Ethical Considerations		No ethical considerations identified or poorly defined and considered <input type="checkbox"/>	Majority of ethical considerations identified but not clearly defined <input type="checkbox"/>	All ethical considerations clearly identified <input type="checkbox"/>
3. Aims of the research Short statements regarding the aims of the study with provision of a null hypothesis		Unclear aims with no provision of a null hypothesis <input type="checkbox"/>	Aims identified but do not fully represent the research <input type="checkbox"/>	Aims clearly identified and null hypothesis provided <input type="checkbox"/>
4. Budget		No budget provided or major omissions <input type="checkbox"/>	Budget is appropriate for the study and only minor omissions <input type="checkbox"/>	Budget clearly details all items required and are covered for the study <input type="checkbox"/>
5. Project Background of Study Discussion of the literature and placement of the their study in context		Obvious omissions in discussion of relevant literature and have misplaced their own study or aims within this context <input type="checkbox"/>	Minor omissions of relevant literature and/or have less clearly placed their own study or aims within this context <input type="checkbox"/>	Relevant literature well discussed and their own study aims are appropriately placed within this context <input type="checkbox"/>

6. Methodological Approach Detailed description of the study techniques to be utilised, ability to replicate the study and methods valid for project type		Incomplete description, replication difficult <input type="checkbox"/>	Adequate description but insufficient to allow replication and/or over-detailed <input type="checkbox"/>	Concise, complete and easy to replicate <input type="checkbox"/>
7. Statistical Analysis Quantitative or qualitative analysis planned for the study will adequately		Incorrect statistical analysis/qualitative analysis or no analysis plans provided for the data answer the research question <input type="checkbox"/>	Statistical/qualitative analysis largely correct <input type="checkbox"/>	Correct statistical/qualitative analysis planned for the data <input type="checkbox"/>
8. Outcomes and Significance		Poor placements with little insight into the context of the study <input type="checkbox"/>	Discussion is slightly misaligned or incomplete in addressing what the study hopes to achieve and its significance <input type="checkbox"/>	Well rounded discussion on what the study hopes to achieve and its significance to the area of medicine being investigated <input type="checkbox"/>
9. Limitations of the study Need to be identified in the outcomes and significance section to reflect how the results may need to be interpreted given the limitations of the study		No understanding of the limitations of the research, experimental design or techniques used <input type="checkbox"/>	Demonstrates some understanding of the limitations of the research experimental design or techniques used <input type="checkbox"/>	Demonstrates good understanding of the limitations of the research, experimental design or techniques used <input type="checkbox"/>
10. Format of the research grant		Major stylistic errors <input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate format with minor stylistic errors <input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate format with no errors <input type="checkbox"/>
11. Reference List		Incomplete and/or inaccurate <input type="checkbox"/>	Complete and mostly accurate <input type="checkbox"/>	Complete and accurate <input type="checkbox"/>
12. Writing style syntax, spelling/typographical errors		Paper poorly organised, difficult to read and/or poorly proof read <input type="checkbox"/>	Clear, fluent writing style, good syntax and few errors <input type="checkbox"/>	Clear, fluent writing study, good syntax and very few errors <input type="checkbox"/>
13. Graphs and Tables		Graphs and tables of poor quality, not described in text/legends <input type="checkbox"/>	Fair graphs/tables of acceptable quality and light support in text and legends <input type="checkbox"/>	Clear and simple graphs/tables of high quality; well supported in text and legends <input type="checkbox"/>

General Comments: