Non-indicated acid-suppression prescribing in a tertiary paediatric hospital: an audit and costing study

Dr Suzi Riess The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne RACP Congress 2019, Auckland



@ Murdoch Children's Research Institute, 2017



• There are no conflicts of interest to declare in relation to this research



Background

Low-value health care

• Use of investigations or treatments that confer no actual benefit or benefit disproportionately low compared to attendant costs

Scott IA, Duckett SJ. Medical Journal of Australia, 2015

Over-diagnosis

• Identification of a true abnormality, but detection does not benefit the patient

Coon ER, et al. Pediatrics, 2014

Australian initiatives: CareTrack Kids and EVOLVE projects

• Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and acid-suppressing therapies (AST) a focus





1. Barron JJ, J Pediatr Gastro Nutr, 2007

2. De Bruyne P, J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr, 2014

research

esearch a

MELBOURNE

Reasons for concern

GORD Global Consensus Definition (2009):

- Persistent reflux of gastric contents \rightarrow 'sufficiently troublesome' symptoms +/- complications
- Distinguishing physiological reflux from GORD is challenging
 - Symptoms lack sensitivity and specificity
 - Critical, to avoid unnecessary tests and medications

Vandenplaus Y, J Pediatr Gastrol Nutr, 2009



Reasons for concern



The problem of unsettled infant behaviour

 No causal relationship between crying, feeding difficulties or unsettled behavior and objective findings for reflux
 Heine R., Archives of disease in childhood, 1995

ASTs are ineffective in reducing symptoms purported to be GORD in infants (RCTs)

Long-term safety

- Increased rates of gastroenteritis and pneumonia
- Increased rates of fractures, in infants exposed < 1 year of age

Canani RB, Paediatrics, 2006

Malchodi L, Presented at PAS, 2017





Aims

Part 1: Primary

 To determine prevalence and associated factors of non-indicated acid-suppression prescribing within a tertiary, paediatric hospital.

Part 2: Secondary

To determine financial costs of non-indicated anti-reflux medication prescribing.



Prospective, single-center electronic medical audit study in a tertiary paediatric hospital

Scripts for PPIs or H₂RAs over a 2-month period

- Inpatients, Outpatients and Emergency Department
 - Patient, provider and hospital setting details
 - Clinical presentation (encounter diagnoses)
 - Evaluated against pre-determined indicator and predisposing diagnoses lists
 - To determine indicated vs. non-indicated scripts



Indicators < 1 year of age	Indicators \geq 1 year of age
Non-indicated care measures	
 Infant with reflux who is healthy and thriving with: Irritability or unexplained crying * Feeding refusal * Frequent regurgitation * 	* CTK indicators
Indicated care measures	Indicated care measures
Reflux Oesophagitis	Reflux Oesophagitis
Haematemesis	Haematemesis
Weight loss or FTT	Weight loss or FTT
Apnoea spells	Heartburn
Anaemia	Dysphagia and Odynophagia
Oesophageal stricture	Anaemia
Chronic vomiting with respiratory complications	Oesophageal stricture
Sandifer syndrome (dystonic neck posturing)	Chronic vomiting with respiratory complications
	Barrett's Oesophagus
licators based on International guidelines and recent literature review	Sandifer syndrome (dystonic neck posturing)

Predisposing conditions

- Neurological abnormality or deficit
- Congenital oesophageal defects
- Hiatus hernia
- Eosinophilic oesophagitis
- Cystic fibrosis
- Obesity
- Prematurity
- Family history of GORD or gastric cancer
- Oesophageal adenocarcinoma





Statistical analysis

Non-indicated scripts/total scripts

• Across settings and by age

Bivariate analyses for patient, provider and clinical characteristics

• Pearson's chi-squares and T-tests

Logistic regression

- Including factors associated in bivariate analyses at p< 0.1
- To identify factors associated with non-indicated prescribing of AST



Methods Part 2: Costing study



Results

303 prescriptions analysed

	ED (n = 34)	Inpatient (n = 189)	Outpatient (n = 80)
Number of children, n	28	137	67
Indicated scripts, n	13	32	20
Non-indicated scripts, n	21	157	60
Proportion non- indicated scripts, %	62 %	83 %	75%
p - value	0.17	< 0.001	< 0.001



Results

303 prescriptions analysed

	ED (n = 34)	Inpatient (n = 189)	Outpatient (n = 80)
Number of children, <i>n</i>	28	137	67
Indicated scripts, n	13	32	20
Non-indicated scripts, n	21	157	60
Proportion non-		02.0/	760/
indicated scripts, %	οΖ %	83%	/ 3%



Results

303 prescriptions analysed

	ED (n = 34)	Inpatient (n = 189)	Outpatient (n = 80)
Number of children, n	28	137	67
Indicated scripts, n	13	32	20
Non-indicated scripts, n	21	157	60
Proportion non- indicated scripts, %	62 %	83 %	75%
p - value	0.17	< 0.001	< 0.001



Bivariate associations between number of indicated and non-indicated prescriptions, across hospital settings

		Hospital setting								
			ED			Inpatient			Outpatient	
		Indicated	Non-indicated	P value	Indicated	Non-indicated	P value	Indicated	Non-indicated	P value
	Number of scripts, n	13	21	0.17	32	157	<0.001	20	60	<0.001
	Number of children, <i>n</i> Child characteristics	12	16	0.45	26	111	<0.001	18	49	<0.001
	Male, n (%)	4 (30.8)	9 (42.9)	0.17	16 (50)	92 (58.6)	< 0.001	12 (60)	27 (45)	0.02
	Age, years, mean (SD)	11 (2.9)	11 (4.7)	0.98	8 (6.9)	6 (6.3)	0.18	9 (5.8)	9 (5.4)	0.53
	Age < 1 year, <i>n</i> (%)	0	0	NA	7 (21.9)	32 (20.3)	<0.001	2 (10)	4 (6.7)	0.41
\rightarrow	Possible steroids – Yes, n (%)	0	0	NA	0	12 (7.6)	< 0.001	0	4 (6.7)	0.01
\rightarrow	PEG/PEJ – Yes, n (%)	0	3 (14.3)	0.08	4 (12.5)	55 (35)	<0.001	1 (5)	9 (15)	0.01
	Team, n (%)			1.00			0.09			0.34
	Medical	NA	NA		7 (21.9)	40 (25.5)		0	4 (6.7)	
	Surgical	NA	NA		2 (6.3)	27 (17.2)		1 (5)	1 (1.7)	
	Specialty	NA	NA		22 (68.8)	90 (57.3)		9 (95)	55 (91.7)	
	Emergency	13 (100)	21 (100)		NA	NA		NA	NA	
	Medical imaging, n (%)	NA	NA		1 (3.1)	0		0	0	
\rightarrow	Predisposing diagnosis, n (%)	0	1 (4.8)	0.31	12 (37.5)	40 (25.4)	<0.001	11 (55)	23 (38.3)	0.04
	Family/Care giver characteristics									
	SEIFA (IRSAD), mean (SD)†	6 (2)	5 (3)	0.73	6 (3)	6 (3)	0.68	6 (3)	6 (3)	0.97
	Remoteness, n (%)			0.51			0.38			0.07
	Regional	0	2 (9.5)		11 (34.4)	39 (24.8)		7 (35)	8 (13.3)	
	Major city	13 (100)	18 (85.7)		21 (65.6)	117 (74.5)		13 (65)	52 (86.7)	
	Prescriber characteristics, n (%)			1.00			0.76			0.01
	Junior medical staff	12 (92.3)	19 (90.5)		28 (87.5)	140 (89.2)		9 (45)	8 (13.3)	
\rightarrow	Consultant	1 (7.7)	2 (9.5)		4 (12.5)	17 (10.8)		11 (55)	52 (86.7)	
	Diagnoses, n (%)			1.00			0.14			0.64
	1	13 (100)	21 (100)		18 (56.3)	89 (56.7)		10 (50)	35 (58.3)	
	2	NA	NA		5 (15.6)	39 (24.8)		6 (20)	12 (20)	
	3 or more	NA	NA		9 (28.1)	22 (14)		6 (30)	12 (20)	

Table 4 Bivariate associations between number of indicated and non-indicated acid-suppression therapy prescriptions across hospital settings

Adjusted logistic regression of variables associated for non-indicated prescriptions *

Independent variable	Adjusted OR (95% C.l.)	p value
Consultant	2.69 (1.23 - 5.87)	0.01
Major city	1.56 (0.78 - 3.11)	0.20
Predisposing condition	0.41 (0.21 - 0.80)	0.009
Male	1.00 (0.55 - 1.82)	0.99
Age > 1 year	0.67 (0.27 - 1.63)	0.38
PEG/PEJ present	5.51 (1.96 - 15.46)	0.001
Inpatient setting	2.35 (1.16 - 4.77)	0.02



* Adjusted for all variables listed in the table

Adjusted logistic regression of variables associated for non-indicated prescriptions *

Independent variable	Adjusted OR (95% C.I.)	p value
Consultant	2.69 (1.23 - 5.87)	0.01
Major city	1.56 (0.78 - 3.11)	0.20
Predisposing condition	0.41 (0.21 - 0.80)	0.009
Male	1.00 (0.55 - 1.82)	0.99
Age > 1 year	0.67 (0.27 - 1.63)	0.38
PEG/PEJ present	5.51 (1.96 - 15.46)	0.001
Inpatient setting	2.35 (1.16 - 4.77)	0.02



* Adjusted for all variables listed in the table

Primary diagnoses for **non-indicated AST** prescriptions

ED		Inpatient		Outpatient	
Abdominal pain (non-specific)	9	Lower respiratory tract infection	13	Cerebral Palsy	10
Vomiting	3	Hypoglycaemia	11	Inflammatory bowel disease	6
Chronic constipation	1	Vomiting	9	PEG/feeding tube related	6
Back pain	1	PEG/feeding tube related	8	Abdominal pain (non-specific)	3
Dermatological diagnosis	1	Congenital heart disease	7	Behaviour issue	3
Fever	1	OSA	6	Congenital syndrome	3



Costing study

Calculated costs of indicated and non-indicated prescriptions

and projected annual and national expenditures

	Indicated (n = 65)	Non-indicated (n = 238)	Total (n = 303)
Royal Children's Hospital			
2 months (relative % of total)	\$865 (25%)	\$2,582 (75%)	\$3,447(100%)
Annual	\$5,188	\$15,493	\$20,681
Major children's hospitals			
Major tertiary paediatric	\$19,955	\$59, 588	\$79,543
Major mixed	\$8,979	\$26,812	\$35,791
Total annual (paediatric and mixed)	\$28,934	\$86,400	\$115,334
		Excellence in clinical care, research and	The Royal Description

Research context

Similar rates in adult populations

• 65 - 90% of acid suppressive therapy 'unnecessarily prescribed'

Pham CQ, RB, Anna pharmacoth, 2006

Variation in paediatric clinical practice

- Disease severity increases variation in practice
 - Inpatient variation in care is often 'over-management'
- Less variation in care amongst junior doctors
 - More likely to refer to clinical practice guidelines

Hiscock H, SAX Institute, 2014



New associations

PEG/PEJ

• No evidence to support *causation* between gastrostomy feeding tubes and increased GORD incidence Razeghi S, J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr, 2002

Sulaeman E, J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr, 1998

Steroids and GORD

- Controversial, with no consistent evidence supporting direct causality and paediatric data lacking
 Narum S, BMJ Open, 2014
- Gastroenterologists least likely to consider steroids ulcerogenic and coadminister gastro-protective medication
 Martinek J, Scand J Gastro, 2010



Strengths

First study to assess factors associated with non-indicated prescribing of acid-suppression therapies in a tertiary paediatric hospital, across settings

• Linking scripts to diagnoses and other factors

Use of a sophisticated electronic medical record (EPIC)

'A priori' determination of clear and specific indicators

Completeness of our costing study

• State and Federal costs included



Limitations

Single-site design

• Limits generalisability

Data limitations associated with using electronic health records

• Missing data regarding diagnoses was minimised

Cross sectional nature

• No repeat prescriptions captured



Conclusions

Non-indicated prescribing of acid-suppression therapy appears common in a tertiary paediatric hospital

• Accounts for 75% total spending and represents a potential for cost savings

Several factors associated with non-indicated prescribing:

- Inpatient status
- Consultant provider
- PEG/PEJ
- 'Possible steroids'





Qualitative methods to understand clinician perspectives on prescribing and barriers to best-practice

Placebo-controlled trials in children with gastrostomies or taking steroids

Trends of use post hospital initiation in infants and children

Multi-component intervention

• prescribing prompts, in-built protocols, auditing and feedback



Current projects at the RCH

- AST prescribing chosen at the current Choosing Wisely focus
- Gathering more data
 - Steroids and AST
 - PEGS and AST
- Collaboration with pharmacists
- Updating Clinical Practice Guidelines eg. Nephrotic Syndrome
 - Routine use of acid suppressing therapies is not essential
 - Consider if there are upper gastrointestinal symptoms while on steroid therapy
 - If indicated, manage with Ranitidine 2-4mg/kg/dose 12 hourly (max 150mg per dose). Cease when steroid therapy is completed.





doi:10.1111/jpc.14287

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Non-indicated acid-suppression prescribing in a tertiary paediatric hospital: An audit and costing study

Suzi Riess,¹ Shaoke Lei,² Li Huang,³ Rachel O'Loughlin² and Harriet Hiscock^{1,2,4}

¹Centre for Community Child Health, Royal Children's Hospital, ²Health Services, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, ³Health Economics Unit, Centre for Health Policy, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health and ⁴Department of Paediatrics, Melbourne University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Aim: To quantify (i) indicated versus non-indicated prescribing of acid-suppression therapies (AST) in a tertiary paediatric hospital; (ii) patient, provider and hospital factors associated with non-indicated prescribing; and (iii) medication costs.

Methods: This was a prospective, electronic medical audit conducted at The Royal Children's Hospital (RCH) Melbourne in August–September 2016. Proton pump inhibitor (PPI) and histamine-2 receptor antagonist (H₂RA) prescriptions were extracted, with relevant patient, provider and hospital data. Logistic regression analysis of variables associated with indicated and non-indicated prescribing was undertaken. Costs of indicated and non-indicated prescriptions were estimated, with annual costs projected.

Results: There was more non-indicated than indicated prescribing across inpatient, outpatient and emergency department settings. Of the total 303 prescriptions analysed, 238 (78.5%) were non-indicated. Gastrostomy presence (odds ratio (OR) 5.51 (1.96–15.46), P = 0.001), consultant providers (OR 2.69 (1.23–5.87), P = 0.01) and inpatient setting (OR 2.35 (1.16–4.77), P = 0.02) were all associated with a higher likelihood of non-indicated prescribing. The child having a predisposing diagnosis was significantly associated with indicated prescribing (OR 0.41 (0.21–0.80), P = 0.009). A total of 75% of hospital and patient spending was for non-indicated prescriptions. Annual costs of non-indicated AST for Melbourne's RCH were projected to be \$15 493.

Conclusions: Non-indicated acid-suppression prescribing is common in a tertiary paediatric hospital and associated with gastrostomy presence, consultant providers and inpatient status. Future research should use qualitative methods to understand clinician and patient drivers of prescribing and use this information to develop and test targeted solutions to reduce non-indicated AST prescribing.

Key words: gastro-oesophageal reflux; histamine H2 antagonist; low-value care/prescribing; paediatric hospital; proton pump inhibitor.





Acknowledgments

Prof Harriet Hiscock - supervisor

Shaoke Lei - MCRI statistician Rachel Neely - MCRI researcher Li Huang - health economist Dr Kim Dalziel - health economist Ahuva Segal - EMR researcher Antun Bogovic - RCH pharmacist







Thank you

Dr Suzi Riess suzi.riess@rch.org.au The Royal Children's Hospital

