

# **Evolve**

Better care. Better decision-making. Better use of resources.

### **Dr Sarah Hilmer**

BScMed(Hons) MBBS(Hons) FRACP PhD
Professor of Geriatric Pharmacology | Sydney Medical School | The University of Sydney
Head of Department Clinical Pharmacology and Senior Staff Specialist Aged Care | Royal North Shore Hospital





# **Welcome to Country**

We would like to show our respect and acknowledge the Gadigal people of the Eora Nation who are the traditional Owners and Custodians of the Land on which this meeting takes place, and their Elders past and present.

We extend that respect to other Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people who are present.







## What is Evolve?

Part of a global movement, Evolve is an initiative led by physicians and the RACP to drive high-value, high-quality care in Australia and New Zealand.

Through a rigorous peer-review process, Evolve identifies a specialty's Top 5 clinical practices that, in particular circumstances, may:

- be overused;
- provide little or no benefit; or
- cause unnecessary harm.

Evolve is a founding member of the Choosing Wisely campaign in Australia and New Zealand.



# **This Congress Session**

1 Outline of Evolve implementation opportunities and strategies

Sarah Hilmer

2 Examples of Evolve projects

Jason Soon Brendan Ng

3 Workshop on implementation of Evolve

All of us





# What does Evolve mean for physicians?

### **Evolve aims to:**

- Safely and responsibly phase out low-value tests, treatments and procedures where appropriate;
- Support physicians in providing high-value care to patients based on evidence and expertise;
- Provide a trusted process for each specialty to remain up-to-date with the latest evidence;
- Enable physicians to influence the best use of health resources, reducing wasted expenditure; and
- Ensure patients receive the test, treatment or procedure they need.







## **Evolve: Call to action**

There are currently 20 Evolve Top 5 Lists available, with more in development.

The RACP is encouraging physicians to implement these recommendations in their work and health service.







## **Evolve: Reflecting on Overall Practice**

### **Evolve Top 5 Lists encourage physicians to consider:**

- Are these low-value practices that I do?
- Are these low-value practices that I see happening?
- Are there systems, processes or expectations that encourage or drive these low-value practices in my health service?







# **Evolve: Considering Individual Patients**

Evolve encourages shared decision-making with a patient and/or carer.

Evolve encourages physicians to reflect on why a clinical practice will **not** be of benefit to a patient.

### **Evolve encourages physicians to ask these Top 5 Questions:**

- Should I undertake this practice for this patient?
- Do the risks to the patient outweigh the benefits?
- Does the patient really need this test, treatment or procedure?
- Does this recommendation make a difference to my clinical-decision making?
- Is there a simpler, safer option?



# **Evolve: Implementation Opportunities**

**Evolve encourages physicians to include the recommendations in routine care:** 

- Education sessions
  - e.g. grand rounds, clinical case reviews, teachable moments
- Clinical handovers
- Clinical audits and feedback
  - Engage hospital management/executive
- Computer decision support systems
  - Electronic ordering and prescribing systems
- Discussions with patients and/or carers
  - Visible recommendations (e.g. waiting rooms)
  - Shared decision making





## **Example from Grand Rounds Presentation**

### **Case Presentation**

- 89 year old woman admitted with pneumonia
- Medication review in hospital:
  - 1. Cholinesterase inhibitor and oxybutynin
  - 2. Antihistamines for tomato allergy
  - 3. Toxic dose of digoxin
  - 4. Statin for primary prevention
  - 5. 12 chronic medicines





- Recognise and stop the prescribing cascade
- Reduce the use of medicines when there is a safer or more effective non-pharmacological management strategy
- Avoid using a higher or lower dose than is necessary for the patient to optimise the 'benefit-to-risk' ratio and achieve the patient's therapeutic goals
- Stop medicines when no further benefit will be achieved or the potential harms outweigh the potential benefits for the individual patient
- Reduce use of multiple concurrent therapeutics (hyper-polypharmacy)



## **Evolve: Research**

RACP is currently undertaking two Advanced Trainee Demonstration projects on ANZSGM Evolve Top 5 List items.

RACP encourages Advanced Trainees and Fellows to develop and conduct research projects on specific recommendations as part of specialty training and CPD.

### Outcomes from these projects can be:

- Presented at conferences or published in journals
- Adapted across health services and specialties
- Used as ongoing references or resources
- A career development opportunity





## **Example from Research**

### **NSW Health Translational Research Project**

### Professor Sarah Hilmer (\$928,000 over two years)

"Reducing Inappropriate Polypharmacy for Older Inpatients"



This project aims to sustainably reduce inappropriate polypharmacy (use of harmful or unnecessary medicines) in older inpatients, something that is almost universal among this group of people and poorly addressed by routine care models.

The aim is to design, implement and evaluate a sustainable intervention for integrated pharmaceutical care. The intervention will adapt existing systems for medication management and communication between patients, hospitals and community practitioners.

### Implements Evolve Criteria from

- ASCEPT
- ANZSGM
- IMSANZ
- ANZSPM
- GESA

### Uses

- Education
- Guidelines
- Quality Indicators: Clinical audits and feedback
- Computer decision support systems
- Consumer information



# Reducing Unnecessary Imaging and Pathology Tests: A Systematic Review

### **Jason Soon**

A/Manager, Strategic Policy and Advocacy Royal Australasian College of Physicians





### Reference

# Reducing Unnecessary Imaging and Pathology Tests: A Systematic Review

Hiscock H, Neely R, Warren H, Soon J, & Georgiou A. *Pediatrics* 2018;141(2):e20172862







# Low-Value care systematic review

**Review Question**: Which interventions work to reduce clinician ordering of unnecessary imaging and/or pathology tests in children?

#### Aims:

- to describe and examine the comparative effectiveness of various interventions;
- to examine any wider effects on LOS, admissions, cost reductions etc.

'Unnecessary tests' are, for example, radiography, CT scan, MRI or routine bloods that are conducted without clinical indication to do so.





## Search strategy

Systematic search: Medline, Embase, Cinahl, PubMed and Cochrane

**Dates:** 01/01/1996 - 04/29/2017

**Exclusions:** non-English language, adult population, non-intervention, N=1 case reports, or studies with no control group.

**Grey literature**: eg. Grey literature databases; Google Scholar; white papers; health services conference abstracts; College's reports (eg. RACP); Choosing Wisely; EVOLVE; conference abstracts.








## **Prisma flowchart**

Identification

Eligibility

Included



evolve

Better care. Better decision-making. Better use of resources.

**RACP CONGRESS** 2018 **Sydney** 

14 - 16 May 2018



## Intervention characteristics

- Mostly: United States (n = 53); Australia (n = 4)
- Mostly: Single site (n = 51)
- Mostly: before-after design (n = 44); RCT (n = 1)
- Equally: Single component (n = 34); Multi-faceted (n = 30)
- Included components:
  - System/Process-Based (n = 54);
  - $\circ$  Educational (n = 30);
  - Audit & Feedback (n = 16);
  - $\circ$  External guideline (n = 7);
  - Incentive or Penalty (n = 0)







# **Findings**

### Interventions have greater effect when:


- Targeting clinicians and patients together (RR = 61.9%)
   (rather than either alone)
- Targeting either **imaging** (RR = 41.8%) or **pathology** testing (RR = 48.8%) (rather than both at once)
- Incorporating is multi-faceted, comprising 3 components
   (RR = 45.0%) (rather than 1 or 2 components)







## What interventions work best?





# Inappropriate polypharmacy and deprescribing:

An online education module for hospital clinicians

### Dr Brendan Ng

MBChB, FRACP, Geriatrics Advanced Trainee, Masters Candidate Clinical Pharmacology and Ageing Laboratory, Kolling Institute, RNSH





### Introduction

Polypharmacy is common in the older inpatient, yet not routinely addressed.

Key enablers and barriers exist to deprescribing<sup>1</sup>

- Awareness
- Self-efficacy
- Clinical inertia
- Feasibility

Recent studies (Canada)

- Awareness of polypharmacy in community-dwelling older people<sup>2</sup>
- Self-efficacy of deprescribing in community physicians<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Anderson et al. BMJ Open, 2014
- 2. Farrell B, et al. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2017
- 3. Turner et al.







## Introduction

Results seen in trials do not match real world outcomes

Maybe due to a lack of theoretical underpinning for implementation<sup>1</sup>

### **Behaviour Change Wheel**<sup>2</sup>







RACP CONGRESS 2018

Sydney 14 – 16 May 2018

1. Cooper JA, et al. BMJ Open. 2015

2. Michie S, et al. Implementation Science 2011



# Online education and polypharmacy

Moderate cost, easily distributable, but uncertain efficacy in RCTs

 Maybe due to a lack of theoretical underpinning for implementation

ELICADHE study (Italy) <sup>1</sup>	Cullinan et al (Ireland) <sup>2</sup>
5 x 1 hour modules Hospital prescribers	<ul><li>1-2 hour module</li><li>Junior hospital doctors</li><li>60% not heard of Beers Criteria</li><li>55% not heard of START/STOPP</li></ul>
<ul> <li>No change in PIM prevalence at discharge, nor mortality or rehospitalisation at 12 months</li> <li>No clinician data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intervention group:</li> <li>Improved knowledge at 3 months</li> <li>Increased confidence in prescribing for older inpatients</li> </ul>

- RACP CONGRESS 2018 Sydney 14 – 16 May 2018
- 1. Franchi, Aging Clin Exp Res 2014
- 2. Drugs Aging, 2017



## The Module



**POLYPHARMACY** 

WHAT IS IT?

Case-based: Brian 79M

Runtime: 11:04 mins

Available now: My Health

Learning (HETI platform)

Course code: 185346268

Key word: Polypharmacy







## The Module

Part of a larger multi-part interventional project Translational Research Grant 274

**ANZSGM Evolve Top 5 List: Recommendation 2** 

Do not prescribe benzodiazepines or other sedative-hypnotics to older adults as first choice for insomnia, agitation or delirium

**ANZSGM Evolve Top 5 List: Recommendation 4** 

Do not prescribe medication without conducting a drug regimen review







## The Module: Aims

Promote awareness of inappropriate polypharmacy amongst hospital staff, with a focus on management in hospitalised patients.

Engage the audience into the activity of deprescribing, highlighting interdisciplinary communication as a foundation of this activity.

Reference and direct learners to the available supporting tools and systems







## **Research Questions**

What **level of awareness and self-efficacy of inappropriate polypharmacy and deprescribing** is present in **hospital clinicians** following completion of a HETI online educational module on inappropriate polypharmacy?

What **common factors** influence the **hospital clinicians'** responses to the online educational module on inappropriate polypharmacy and deprescribing after completing the module?

What is the **immediate impact of a HETI online educational module** on awareness and self-efficacy of inappropriate polypharmacy and deprescribing in **senior medical students**?



**Ethics Approval** 05

April 2018
Discuss results with
HETI

Review by ethics

HETI
Polypharmacy
module
Developed
Released 06
March 2018

Phase 1)
Questionnaire
Development

Submitted 12 Feb 2018

RACP CONGRESS 2018 Sydney 14 – 16 May 2018



### **Phase 2) Recruitment**

### **Setting:**

Selected wards, 6 study hospitals Sydney Medical School Clinical Schools

Hospital Clinicians

(41 questions)

Post-module

**Medical Student** 

(49 questions)

Pre- and postmodule

### Results

Descriptive statistics, Cronbach's alpha; CHERRIEs reporting for internet surveys





# **Results: Questionnaire Development**

### **Demographics**:

Sex

Clinical experience

Age

Setting

Role

### **Awareness**

- Polypharmacy
- Clinical roles (and medical student perception)
- Tools for deprescribing
- Current activity

### **Self-Efficacy**

 Adapted 14 point self-efficacy scale for hospital clinician evo and medical student use







### **Results: Pilot**

- Expert panel of doctors, pharmacists and medical educators
- REDCap database
- Face validity, content validity and readability
- Piloted: 35 participants
  - 6 doctors, 12 pharmacists, 6 allied health, 3 medical students, 8 nurses
- Mean questionnaire completion time: 07:38 mins, SD 02:16
- Mean questionnaire System Usability Score = 77.73/100, SD 11.74
- Developed mobile phone version







# **Strengths and limitations**

### **Strengths**

- Module is good quality, shorter than previously described modules
- Collecting clinician data
- Broad sample population including medical students
- Theoretical underpinning
- Part of larger intervention of deprescribing in hospitals

### Limitations

- Respondent bias and convenience sampling
- 'Mandatory training fatigue'
- Medical student proxies for hospital clinicians
- Cross-sectional measure







## **Next steps**

- Discuss with study partners results of pilot
- General release of questionnaire attached to module
  - Open for 6 months
- Report descriptive statistics and Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)
- Expansion
  - Medical students
  - Interstate
  - International







## **Conclusions**

- Education is an intervention function that is an important component of behavioural change
- Use of online education in deprescribing may promote behavioural change
- Development of the NSW Health HETI module 'polypharmacy in the older inpatient' is complete and available for viewing
- Questionnaire has completed piloting
- Trainees can take the lead in implementation of the Evolve guidelines







# **Tips for Advanced Trainees**

- Decide on an idea- refine, refine
- Find good supervisors, be part of a project
- Find a framework to base your work on
  - Evolve, Behaviour Change Wheel
- Establish a timeline
  - Get ethics in early







## Acknowledgements

Supervisors: Prof Sarah Hilmer and

Prof David Le Couteur

Investigators: Jeanette Carey,

Catherine Richards, George Szonyi,

Bill Thoo, Mai Duong, Sarita Lo,

**Justin Turner** 

### Others:

Katie Willey and Malcolm Brown (NSW Health: Health Education Training Institute) Sydney Medical School Clinical Schools **Funding:** NSW Health Translational Research Grant 274, RACP Evolve, ANZSGM Travelling scholarship and Alzheimers and Ageing Institute/Penney Ageing Research Unit









# Acknowledgements























# **Evolve**

Better care. Better decision-making. Better use of resources.

Workshop
Dr Sarah Hilmer
Dr Brendan Ng
Mr Jason Soon



